

Directions: This exam has 30 questions, for a total of 100 points and 0 bonus points. Please read the directions for each section carefully. If you have any questions about the exam itself, please raise your hand and I will come to your desk to answer your question. You may use the last pages of this exam as scrap paper.

1 Multiple Choice

Choose the best answer.

1. (2 points) An interpretation of **RL** is a function that does what (indicate all that apply):
 - A. specifies what objects are in the domain.
 - B. assigns truth values to n -place predicate terms followed by n terms.
 - C. for each name in **RL** it assigns that name one and only one item in \mathcal{D}
 - D. for each n -place predicate term in **RL** assigns, it assigns that predicate term a set of n -tuples composed of elements from \mathcal{D}
 - E. assigns truth values to objects and wffs

2. (2 points) What is the principal weakness of **PL** in comparison to **RL**
 - A. **PL** is not expressive enough: there are valid English arguments that can be expressed in **RL** that cannot be expressed in **PL**
 - B. **PL** is too expressive: there are valid arguments in **PL** for which it would be impossible to express in English.
 - C. **PL** has an imprecise syntax, while the syntax of **RL** is fully precise.
 - D. **PL** has an imprecise semantics, while the semantics of **RL** is fully precise.

3. (2 points) What is a model (\mathcal{M})?
 - A. a model (\mathcal{M}) is a two-part structure consisting of a domain (\mathcal{D}) and an interpretation function (\mathcal{I})
 - B. a model (\mathcal{M}) is a three-part structure consisting of a domain (\mathcal{D}), an interpretation function (\mathcal{I}), and a valuation (v) function.
 - C. a model (\mathcal{M}) is a two-part structure consisting of a domain (\mathcal{D}) and a valuation function v where the valuation function assigns truth values to **RL**-wffs.
 - D. a model (\mathcal{M}) is a single-part structure consisting of a domain (\mathcal{D})

4. (2 points) In a predicate logic tree, under what conditions is a branch that contains a universally quantified wff (e.g. $(\forall x)Px$) considered a *completed open branch* (indicate all that apply)
 - A. when $(\forall x)Px$ has been decomposed into $\neg(\exists x)Px$
 - B. when $\diamond P$ has been decomposed and relativized to a possible world, e.g., irj
 - C. when $(\forall x)Px$ has been decomposed for every name a, b, c, \dots that occurs in that branch
 - D. when all the complex wffs (non-literals) that are in that branch and that can be decomposed have been decomposed
 - E. when the branch is not closed, viz., does not contain a wff and its literal negation

5. (2 points) What is a deductive apparatus for **RL**?
 - A. a set of rules of derivation that express which wffs ϕ can be written after which wffs ψ in a derivation.
 - B. a set of rules that state how a tree is supposed to look, e.g. horizontally rather than vertically.

- C. It is a set of rules that allow individuals to reason from facts (experience) to general laws, e.g. laws of nature.
 - D. a set of rules that state that the rows in a proof need to be numbered.
 - E. a way of listing off each wff one right after another
6. (2 points) What is a derivation of **Q** using **RD**?
- A. a finite string of formulas from a set Γ of **RL** wffs where (i) the last formula in the string is **Q** and (ii) each formula is either a premise, an assumption, or is the result of the preceding formulas and the deductive apparatus.
 - B. finite string of wffs starting with some premises **A, B, C, ...** and ending with **Q**.
 - C. a finite string of wffs starting with some premises **A, B, C, ...** or assumptions and ending with **Q**.
 - D. an infinite string of wffs starting with some premises **A, B, C, ...** or assumptions and ending with **Q**.

1.1 Symbols

7. (2 points) Which of the following symbols are **RL** names (indicate all that apply)?
- A. b
 - B. y
 - C. \exists
 - D. m
 - E. n
 - F. \forall
 - G. \diamond

1.2 Syntax

State whether the following formulas are wffs. You can assume that H is a one-place predicate, that L is a two-place predicate, and conventions for simplifying wffs are present.

- 8. (2 points) Hab
- 9. (2 points) $Ha \vee \neg Hb$
- 10. (2 points) $(\forall x)Hx$
- 11. (2 points) $(\exists y)(\forall x)(Lxy \wedge \neg Hx)$

1.3 Semantics

Directions: Determine whether the following wffs are true or false by using the following model: $\mathcal{D} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $\mathcal{I}(a) = 1$, $\mathcal{I}(b) = 2$, $\mathcal{I}(c) = 3$, $\mathcal{I}(d) = 4$, $\mathcal{I}(e) = 5$, for all other names α , $\mathcal{I}(\alpha) = 4$, $\mathcal{I}(N) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $\mathcal{I}(G) = \{\langle 2, 1 \rangle, \langle 3, 2 \rangle, \langle 3, 1 \rangle, \langle 5, 1 \rangle\}$, $\mathcal{I}(I) = \{\}$, $\mathcal{I}(E) = \{2, 4\}$, $\mathcal{I}(O) = \{1, 3, 5\}$

- 12. (2 points) Oc
- 13. (2 points) $(\forall x)Nx$

14. (2 points) $(\exists y)Iy$
 15. (2 points) $(\forall x)(Ex \wedge Ox)$
 16. (2 points) Gab
 17. (2 points) $(\exists x)(Gex)$

1.4 Translation

Directions: Translate the following English sentences into the language of predicate logic. Write the formula on the line provided. Use the following translation key as your guide: \mathcal{D} =people, $\mathcal{I}(a)$ = Ava, $\mathcal{I}(j)$ = Jon, $\mathcal{I}(e)$ = Eve, $\mathcal{I}(Lxy)$ = x loves y , $\mathcal{I}(Hx)$ = x is happy. $\mathcal{I}(Rx)$ = x is rich.

18. (2 points) Ava is not happy
 19. (2 points) Ava loves Jon.
 20. (2 points) Someone is *both* rich and happy.
 21. (2 points) Someone is rich and someone is happy.
 22. (2 points) All happy people are rich.

Directions: Translate the following predicate logic wffs into English. Write your translation on the line provided. Use the following translation key as your guide: \mathcal{D} =people, $\mathcal{I}(a)$ = Ava, $\mathcal{I}(j)$ = Jon, $\mathcal{I}(e)$ = Eve, $\mathcal{I}(Lxy)$ = x loves y , $\mathcal{I}(Hx)$ = x is happy. $\mathcal{I}(Rx)$ = x is rich.

23. (2 points) $(\forall x)Lxx$
 24. (2 points) $(\forall x)Lxa$
 25. (2 points) $(\forall x)(Hx \rightarrow Lxx)$

2 Trees and Proofs

Directions: Use a truth-tree to determine whether the following sets of wffs are consistent/inconsistent or arguments are valid/invalid. If the tree shows the set to be consistent or the argument to be invalid, construct a model illustrating this fact. (Rubric: Tree=5pts, Property=1pt, Model=4pts, if applicable)

26. (10 points) Determine consistent/inconsistent: $Pa, Qb, (\exists x)\neg Px, (\forall x)Qx$
 27. (10 points) Determine semantic entailment: $(\exists x)Px, (\exists x)Mx \vdash (\forall x)(Px \rightarrow Mx)$

Directions: Solve the following proofs.

28. (10 points) $(\forall x)Bx \vdash (\exists x)Bx$
 29. (10 points) $Lab, (\forall x)Bxx \vdash (\forall y)Byy$
 30. (10 points) $Pa, (\exists x)(Ax \wedge Bx) \vdash (\exists x)Ax$

$\begin{array}{l} P \wedge Q \\ P \quad \wedge D \\ Q \quad \wedge D \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} P \swarrow P \vee Q \searrow Q \\ \quad \vee D \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} \neg(P \vee Q) \\ \neg(P) \quad \neg \vee D \\ \neg(Q) \quad \neg \vee D \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \neg(P) \swarrow \neg(P \wedge Q) \searrow \neg(Q) \\ \quad \neg \wedge D \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} \neg(P \rightarrow Q) \\ P \quad \neg \rightarrow D \\ \neg(Q) \quad \neg \rightarrow D \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \neg(P) \swarrow (P \rightarrow Q) \searrow Q \\ \quad \rightarrow D \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} P \swarrow P \leftrightarrow Q \searrow \neg(P) \\ Q \quad \neg(Q) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \leftrightarrow D \\ \leftrightarrow D \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \neg\neg(P) \\ P \quad \neg\neg D \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} P \swarrow \neg(P \leftrightarrow Q) \searrow \neg(P) \\ \neg(Q) \quad Q \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \neg \leftrightarrow D \\ \neg \leftrightarrow D \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{l} \neg(\exists x)\phi \checkmark \\ (\forall x)\neg(\phi), \neg\exists D \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \neg(\forall x)\phi \checkmark \\ (\exists x)\neg(\phi), \neg\forall D \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} (\exists x)\phi \checkmark \\ \phi(\alpha/x), \exists D \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} (\forall x)\phi \\ \phi(\alpha/x), \forall D \end{array}$

Table 1: Truth tree decomposition rules for **PL** and **RL**

Derivation Rule – Conjunction Introduction ($\wedge I$)

$P, Q \vdash P \wedge Q$ or $P, Q \vdash Q \wedge P$

Derivation Rule – Conjunction Elimination ($\wedge E$)

$P \wedge Q \vdash P$ or $P \wedge Q \vdash Q$

Derivation Rule – Conditional Introduction ($\rightarrow I$)

$$\begin{array}{l} n \quad \quad \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} P \\ \vdots \\ Q \end{array} \right. \quad A \\ \vdots \\ (n+1) \quad \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} Q \end{array} \right. \\ (n+2) \quad P \rightarrow Q \quad \rightarrow I, n-(n+1) \end{array}$$

Derivation Rule – Conditional Elimination ($\rightarrow E$)

$P \rightarrow Q, P \vdash Q$

Derivation Rule – Reiteration (R)

$P \vdash P$

Derivation Rule – Negation Introduction ($\neg I$)

n	P	A
\vdots	\vdots	
$(n+1)$	Q	
$(n+2)$	$\neg Q$	
$(n+3)$	$\neg(P)$	$\neg I, n-(n+2)$

Derivation Rule – Negation Elimination ($\neg E$)

n	$\neg(P)$	A
\vdots	\vdots	
$(n+1)$	Q	
$(n+2)$	$\neg Q$	
$(n+3)$	P	$\neg E, n-(n+2)$

Derivation Rule – Disjunction Introduction ($\vee I$)

$P \vdash P \vee Q$ or $P \vdash Q \vee P$

Derivation Rule – Disjunction Elimination ($\vee E$)

1	$P \vee Q$	P
n	P	A
\vdots	\vdots	
$(n+1)$	R	
(i)	Q	A
\vdots	\vdots	
$(i+1)$	R	
(k)	R	$\vee E, 1, n-(n+1), (i)-(i+1)$

Derivation Rule – Biconditional Introduction ($\leftrightarrow I$)

n	P	A
\vdots	\vdots	
$(n+1)$	Q	
(i)	Q	A
\vdots	\vdots	
$(i+1)$	P	
(k)	$P \leftrightarrow Q$	$\leftrightarrow I, n-(n+1), (i)-(i+1)$

Derivation Rule – Biconditional Elimination ($\leftrightarrow E$)

$P \leftrightarrow Q, P \vdash Q$ or $P \leftrightarrow Q, Q \vdash P$

Derivation Rule – Disjunctive Syllogism (DS)

$P \vee Q, \neg Q \vdash P$ or $P \vee Q, \neg P \vdash Q$

Derivation Rule – Modus Tollens (MT)

$P \rightarrow Q, \neg Q \vdash \neg P$

Derivation Rule – Hypothetical Syllogism (HS)

$P \rightarrow Q, Q \rightarrow R \vdash P \rightarrow R$

Derivation Rule – Double Negation (DN)

$P \dashv\vdash \neg\neg P$

Derivation Rule – De Morgan’s Laws (DeM)

$\neg(P \vee Q) \dashv\vdash \neg P \wedge \neg Q$
 $\neg(P \wedge Q) \dashv\vdash \neg P \vee \neg Q$

Derivation Rule – Implication (IMP)

$P \rightarrow Q \dashv\vdash \neg P \vee Q$

Derivation Rule – Universal Elimination ($\forall E$)

$(\forall x)\phi(x_1 \dots x_n) \vdash \phi(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n/x_1 \dots x_n)$ where x is not in $\phi(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n)$

Derivation Rule – Existential Introduction ($\exists I$)

$\phi(\alpha_i) \vdash (\exists x)\phi(x_n/\alpha_n)$ where x is not in $\phi(\alpha_i)$

Derivation Rule – Universal Introduction ($\forall I$)

$\phi(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n) \vdash (\forall x)\phi(x_1 \dots x_n/\alpha_1, \dots \alpha_n)$ where the name α does not occur as premise, as an assumption in an open subproof, or in $(\forall x)\phi(x_1 \dots x_n/\alpha_1, \dots \alpha_n)$ and where x is not in $\phi(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n)$

Derivation Rule – Existential Elimination ($\exists E$)

1	$(\exists x)\mathbf{P}$	\mathbf{P}	
n	$\mathbf{P}(a/x)$	\mathbf{A}	
\vdots	\vdots		
$(n+1)$	\mathbf{Q}		
(k)	\mathbf{Q}		$\exists E, 1, n-(n+1)$

Derivation Rule – Quantifier Negation (QN)

$\neg(\forall x)P \dashv\vdash (\exists x)\neg P$ or $\neg(\exists x)P \dashv\vdash (\forall x)\neg P$