

Errata for *Symbolic Logic: Syntax, Semantics and Proof* (2013) by David W. Agler

Last Updated: 4/30/2014

Below is a list of the typographical errors in *Symbolic Logic: Syntax, Semantics and Proof*. The publisher ran a 2<sup>nd</sup> printing of the book sometime after 5/27/13 that includes all of the non-grey corrections.

Corrections marked in grey were found post 5/27/13 (i.e. after the second printing).

Corrections marked in turquoise are found *only* in the 2<sup>nd</sup> printing.

\*Thanks go out to the many great students in my PHIL012 (Symbolic Logic) courses for catching these typographical errors. They include: Christopher Allaman, Charles Banks, Ashley Brooks, Angel Bingham, Delores Casey, Alli Charney, Chris Connard, Aurora Cooper, Maureen Dunn, Elliannies Duran, Ariel Endresen, Nayib Felix, Joy Garcia, Robin Hager, Rachel Heilman, Catherine Hendricks, Michael Humphries, Lyric Joseph-Armstrong, Alex Kirk, Tira Koebler, Edward Lackner, Ivan Maldonado, Helena Murphy, Anne-Marie Pietersma, Jennifer Pronko, Cynthia Roebuck, Brooke Santkiewicz, Ariel Valdez, and Kathy Weden.

### **Chapter 1**

p.9, above 2<sup>nd</sup> table. Change “In everyday speech, the parts of an **arguments**” to “In everyday speech, the parts of an argument”

p.22, C. Conceptual Questions. #5. Delete the asterisk (\*) on “5”.

### **Chapter 2**

p.31, toward top of page (definition of Conjunction= df.). Rewrite for clarity: “If the truth values of both of the conjuncts are true, then the complex proposition (the conjunction) is true.”

p.33, “One way of translating (4<sub>E</sub>) is by a single letter, since (4<sub>E</sub>) is a proposition” replace with “One way of translating (5<sub>E</sub>) is by a single letter, since (5<sub>E</sub>) is a proposition”

p.34, last paragraph, replace (1)s with (2)s and replace (2)s with (3)s.

p.40, line 1, remove “do” in “In order to do achieve”

p.42, middle of page in block quote, line 3. Change “ $R \vee \neg M$  is” to “ $R \vee \neg M$  is”

p.44, Exercise Set C, #6, change “ $\neg J \neg \wedge (R \vee R)$ ” to “ $\neg J \neg \wedge (R \wedge R)$ ”

p.45, last line: replace “ $\wedge$ ” with “ $\vee$ ”

p.46, line 4 after **PvQ**. Change “the proposition to the right of the caret” to “the proposition to the right of the wedge”

p.54, 5<sup>th</sup> line from the top, replace “Toronto is not the largest city in Ontario” with “Toronto is not the largest city in Canada”

p.57, 6<sup>th</sup> line up from the bottom “Liz will be ... she is an automobile accident” should be “... she is in an automobile accident”

p.57, 4<sup>th</sup> line up from the bottom “**P unless Q**” as “ $P \vee Q$ ” should read “**P unless Q**” as “ $\neg P \vee Q$ ”

p.58, in row that reads “**not P unless Q**”, to the immediate right, it should read “ $\neg P \vee Q$ ”

p.58, in row that reads “**P unless Q**”, formula to the right should read “ $(P \vee Q) \wedge \neg (P \wedge Q)$  or  $\neg (P \leftrightarrow Q)$ ”

p.59, D. Basic Translation, #2: should read “... Mary is a happy woman” not “happy women”

p.62, in table at bottom, in row involving “not P unless Q”, change “ $P \vee Q$ ” to “ $\neg P \vee Q$ ”

### **Chapter 3**

p.67, 2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph under  $Z \wedge \neg J$ , replace two instances of “B” with “J”

p.68, Exercise Set #1, Section A, #7, delete  $v(C)=T$ .



## Errata for Symbolic Logic

the immediate right of “Q”

p.179, first table on page should look as follows:

1	P		P
2		S	A
3		P ∧ S	1,2 ∧ I

p.179, second table on page, line 3, replace “P ∧ W” with “P ∧ S”

p.180, #1, line 1, third column, should be “P / C” rather than “P / B → C”

p.182, top example, line #3, column #3, replace “A / ¬I” with “P, ¬P”

p.183, 2<sup>nd</sup> example, line #3, column #3, replace “A / ¬E” with “P, ¬P”

p.186, first example, line 4, third column; replace “2,4 → E” with “2,3 → E”

p.188, table for Biconditional Elimination”, rule has “P” and “Q” directly under “P ↔ Q”, these should be left aligned.

p.188, second to last example: line #1; replace “(P ↔ Q) ↔ (R ↔ T)” with “(P ↔ Q) ↔ (R → T)”

p.189, last example on page; replace “P ↔ Q, Q, P ⊢ (P ∨ ¬Z) ↔ (¬Z ∨ P)” with “P ↔ Q, Q ⊢ (P ∨ ¬Z) ↔ (¬Z ∨ P)”

p.189, line #8, third column, replace “4-5,5-6 ↔ I” with “4-5,6-7 ↔ I”

p.191, problem #9, line #5, third column, replace “2,4 → D” with “2,4 → E”

p.192, continuation of #9, line #7, third column, replace “6,3 → D” with “3,6 → E”

p.198, Solution to Ex. #1, line 2, column #3, replace “P / ¬P” with “P”

p.201, third full paragraph, replace “Since ‘¬(P ∧ P)’ is the goal” with “Since ‘¬(P ∧ ¬P)’ is the goal”

p.202, middle of page, replace “(P ∨ R) → P” in “R ⊢ (P ∨ R) → P” and at line #1 with “(P ∨ R) → R”

p.205, top of page, replace “¬(¬P ∧ ¬Q)” with “¬(¬P ∧ Q)”

p.206, Exercise Set #6, problem #4, replace “⊢ P ∨ ¬P” with “⊢ ¬¬(P ∨ ¬P)”

p.214, middle of page, line #2, 3<sup>rd</sup> column, replace “2DeM” with “1DeM”

p.219, 3<sup>rd</sup> example on page, replace line #6 “¬R” with ¬R ∧ ¬M, and replace line #7 (3<sup>rd</sup> column) “5DeM” with “6 ∧ E”

p.219, under 5.6.1, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence: replace “In many case” with “In many cases”

p.225, #13, line #4, 3<sup>rd</sup> column; replace “1,3DS” with “1,2DS”

p.227, #42, replace “(B → C) → ¬(D → E), C ⊢ ¬E” with “(¬B ∨ C) → ¬(D → E), C ⊢ ¬E”

p.228, #39, remove line 4 and renumber line 5 as “4”

p.228, #42, replace “(B → C) → ¬(D → E), C ⊢ ¬E” with “(¬B ∨ C) → ¬(D → E), C ⊢ ¬E” AND replace line #1 with “(¬B ∨ C) → ¬(D → E)”

p.228, #41, line 4, 3<sup>rd</sup> column, replace “1 → E” with “1,3 → E”

p.230, #55 replace problem with the following:

$$F \vee [(G \wedge D) \wedge M] \vdash (F \vee M) \vee R$$

1	F ∨ [(G ∧ D) ∧ M]	P / (F ∨ M) ∨ R
2	¬[(F ∨ M) ∨ R]	A / contra
3	¬(F ∨ M) ∧ ¬R	2DeM
4	¬(F ∨ M)	3 ∧ E
5	¬F ∧ ¬M	4DeM
6	¬F	5 ∧ E
7	¬M	5 ∧ E
8	(G ∧ D) ∧ M	1,6DS
9	M	8 ∧ E

## Errata for Symbolic Logic

10		$\neg M$	7R
11		$(F \vee M) \vee R$	2-10-E

p.230, #53, line #12, third column: replace "2,9" with "9,11DS"

p.233, #73, line #9, right column: replace " $7 \vee E$ " with " $7 \wedge E$ "

p.233, #73, line #7, third column, to DEM, add "+DNx2"

p.234, #79, line 6, third column should be 2-5-E

p.234, #79, line 11, third column, change " $7-10 \rightarrow I$ " to " $7-10-I$ "

p.235, first proof on page, #2, 3<sup>rd</sup> column, replace " $1 \wedge E$ " with " $1DN$ "

p.236, #88, line 4, 3<sup>rd</sup> column, replace " $1 \rightarrow E$ " with " $1,3 \rightarrow E$ "

### Chapter 6

p.249, 1<sup>st</sup> line; delete "such a language"

p.249, first sentence in second full paragraph: replace "In addition to names" with "In addition to names"

p.252, in last full paragraph on page, last sentence, change "'a instance of a variable.'" to "an instance of a variable."

p.261, 2<sup>nd</sup> line from top, labeled #5, should be "if ' $\neg Qa$ ' and ' $(\forall x)Rx$ ' are wffs" rather than "'If ' $\neg Qa$ ' and ' $(\forall x)Px$ ' are wffs"

p.261, second example, line 5: should be: ' $(\exists y) Gy$ ' is a wff rather than ' $(\exists x) Gy$ ' is a wff & replace "Lines 1,5" with "Lines 1,4"

p.261, second example, line 6 ' $(\exists y) Gy$ ' are wffs rather than ' $(\exists x) Gy$ ' are wffs

p.252, last full paragraph on page, last sentence, change "'a instance of a variable.'" to "an instance of a variable."

p.257, Section 6.3.2, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence, replace "we learn to how to" with "we learn how to"

p.262, Exercise Set #2, C, #2, replace " $Raa \rightarrow Pa$ " with " $Ra \rightarrow Paa$ "

p.262, Exercise Set #2, #4, replace " $(\exists x)Px$ " with " $(\exists x)Pxx$ "

p.262, Solution Set B, #5, add sentence "the variable 'x' is in the scope of  $(\exists w)$ "

p.263, Solution Set C, #1, replace: "name (rule i). 'Paa' is a one-place predicate" with "name (rule i). 'Paa' is a two-place predicate"

p.263, Section 6.4.1, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, 3<sup>rd</sup> line up from the bottom, replace "of integers" with "integers"

p.267, last paragraph, 5<sup>th</sup> line down, replace "the name designate" with "the name designates"

p.267, 4<sup>th</sup> line up from the bottom, replace "simpler values of" with "simpler"

p.268, 4<sup>th</sup> line up from the bottom, replace "'8" with "8"

p.268-269, need to bold several letters throughout these pages. Here they are:

- p.268, 2<sup>nd</sup> to last paragraph (1<sup>st</sup> line): for any name '**a**,"
- p.268, 2<sup>nd</sup> to last paragraph (1<sup>st</sup> line): "**a**-variant or **a**-varies"
- p.268, 2<sup>nd</sup> to last paragraph (2<sup>nd</sup> line): "interprets '**a**' "
- p.268, 2<sup>nd</sup> to last paragraph (3<sup>rd</sup> line): "assigns to '**a**' (i.e.,"
- p.268, 2<sup>nd</sup> to last paragraph (4<sup>th</sup> line): "all **a**-variant"
- p.268, 2<sup>nd</sup> to last paragraph (5<sup>th</sup> line): "all assign '**a**' to an object"
- p.268, 2<sup>nd</sup> to last paragraph (6<sup>th</sup> line): "they assign to '**a**.'" "
- p.268, 2<sup>nd</sup> to last paragraph (table at bottom of page): all a's in single quotation marks should be bolded
- p.269, first full paragraph: all a's in single quotations marks should be bolded

## Errata for Symbolic Logic

- p.269, Exercise Set #3, B, #2: replace “ $(\forall x)Lxy$ ” with “ $(\forall x)(\forall y)Lxy$ ”
- p.270, B., #1: should be  $v(\forall x)Lxx=F$  rather than  $v(\forall x)Lxx=T$
- p.270 B., #3: should be  $v(\exists x)\neg Lxx=T$  rather than  $v(\exists x)\neg Lxx=F$
- p.270, last line on page: delete second (3).
- p.272, middle of the page (3B\*), replace “it will be also be happy” with “it will also be happy”
- p.272, 3<sup>rd</sup> sentence from the bottom reads “Notice that in the case of (5), which is “ $(\forall x)(Zx \rightarrow Hx)$ ,” the formula should read “ $\neg(\forall x)(Zx \rightarrow Hx)$ ,”
- p.276, in table, 2<sup>nd</sup> line, change “is moveable” to “is movable”
- p.277, #7, should be “and everyone hates him or herself” rather than “and everyone hates everyone”
- p.279, table that reads “English Sentence”, rows #2, #3, #5 replace outermost parentheses with brackets
- p.279, table that reads “English Sentence”, row #6, formula should read  $(\forall x)(\exists y)[(Hx \wedge Zy \rightarrow \neg Lxy)]$
- p. 280, 2nd set of formulas on page, under  $(\exists x)(\forall y)Lxy$ , there is  $(\forall y)(\exists x)Lxy$ . This should read: “ $(\forall x)(\exists y)Lxy$ ”
- p. 280, sentence in the last paragraph that reads “ ‘ $(\forall y)(\exists y)Lxy$ ’ expresses the proposition” should read “ ‘ $(\forall x)(\exists y)Lxy$ ’ expresses the proposition”
- p. 280, prompts for Exercise A and B read “translate the predicate logic expressions below into English” when they should read “translate the following English sentences into the language of predicate logic”
- p. 280, Exercise Set #5, set B, after “ $Lxy$ : x loves y”, add “s: Sally”

### Chapter 7

- p.288, second example on page, line 6, column #3, replace “ $2 \rightarrow D$ ” with “ $5 \rightarrow D$ ”
- p.289, bottom example on page, replace “ $(\exists x)(Py \rightarrow Ry)$ ” with “ $(\exists y)(Py \rightarrow Ry)$ ” in the formula above the example and in line #1
- p.290 (correction from previous page), line #1, replace “ $(\exists x)(Py \rightarrow Ry)$ ” with “ $(\exists y)(Py \rightarrow Ry)$ ”
- p.292, first example on page, line 4, column #3, replace “ $1 \forall D$ ” with “ $3 \forall D$ ”
- p.292, Exercise Set #1, Exercise #3, replace “ $(\exists x)(Px \wedge \neg Qx)$ ,  $(\forall x)Px \rightarrow (\forall x)Qx$ ” with “ $(\exists x)(Px \wedge Qx)$ ,  $(\forall x)Px \rightarrow (\forall x)Qx$ ” (this influences the answer on p.293 (see below))
- p.293, exercise #3, replace “ $(\exists x)(Px \wedge \neg Qx)$ ,  $(\forall x)Px \rightarrow (\forall x)Qx$ ” with “ $(\exists x)(Px \wedge Qx)$ ,  $(\forall x)Px \rightarrow (\forall x)Qx$ ”, line #1: replace “ $(\exists x)(Px \wedge \neg Qx)$ ” with “ $(\exists x)(Px \wedge Qx)$ ”, line #3 replace “ $Pa \wedge \neg Qa$ ” with “ $Pa \wedge Qa$ ”, and line #5, replace “ $\neg Qa$ ” with “ $Qa$ ”.
- p.293, exercise #3, 1<sup>st</sup> line in the description below the tree. Replace “forms of a” with “forms a”
- p.295, first example: there are two #8’s on the page, replace second “8” with “9”
- p.298, middle example, line 3, 3<sup>rd</sup> column, replace “ $1 \exists D$ ” with “ $2 \exists D$ ”
- p.299, first example on page, line 9, column #3, replace “ $4 \rightarrow D$ ” with “ $5 \rightarrow D$ ”
- p.301, line 10 contains a mistake that impacts the rest of the tree; recreated here is the rest of the tree to fix the mistake in line 10:

1	$\neg(\forall x)(\exists y)(Pxy) \wedge (\forall y)\neg(\exists x)(Rxy)$ ✓	P
2	$\neg(\forall y)(\forall x)(Rxy)$ ✓	P
3	$(Rab \wedge Rba) \wedge Pab$ ✓	P
4	$Rab \wedge Rba$ ✓	3 $\wedge D$
5	$Pab$	3 $\wedge D$
6	$Rab$	4 $\wedge D$
7	$Rba$	4 $\wedge D$
8	$\neg(\forall x)(\exists y)(Pxy)$ ✓	1 $\wedge D$
9	$(\forall y)\neg(\exists x)(Rxy)$	1 $\wedge D$
10	$(\exists x)\neg(\exists y)(Pxy)$ ✓	8 $\neg \forall D$
11	$(\exists y)\neg(\forall x)(Rxy)$ ✓	2 $\neg \forall D$

### Errata for Symbolic Logic

12	$\neg(\exists y)(Pcy)$ ✓	10 $\exists D$
13	$(\forall y)\neg(Pcy)$	12 $\neg\exists D$
14	$\neg Pca$	13 $\forall D$
15	$\neg Pcb$	13 $\forall D$
16	$\neg Pcc$	13 $\forall D$
17	$\neg(\forall x)(Rxe)$ ✓	11 $\exists D$
18	$(\exists x)\neg(Rxe)$ ✓	17 $\neg\forall D$
19	$\neg Rfe$	18 $\exists D$
20	$\neg(\exists x)(Rxa)$ ✓	9 $\forall D$
21	$\neg(\exists x)(Rxb)$ ✓	9 $\forall D$
22	$\neg(\exists x)(Rxe)$ ✓	9 $\forall D$
23	$\neg(\exists x)(Rxf)$ ✓	9 $\forall D$
24	$(\forall x)\neg(Rxa)$	20 $\neg\exists D$
25	$(\forall x)\neg(Rxb)$	21 $\neg\exists D$
26	$(\forall x)\neg(Rxe)$	22 $\neg\exists D$
27	$(\forall x)\neg(Rxf)$	23 $\neg\exists D$
28	$\neg Rab$	25 $\forall D$
	<b>X</b>	

from here, on p.302, the second to last line should read "Rather than starting by decomposing line 24 with multiple uses of ( $\forall D$ ), you can decompose line 25 into line 30 using one instance of ( $\forall D$ ) involving ' $P(b/x)$ .'"

p.302, Exercise Set #8, replace " $(\exists x)(Px \rightarrow Qx)$ " with " $(\forall x)(Px \rightarrow Qx)$ "

p.303, #5, center column, place check marks (✓) to the formulae on line #3 and #7

p.304, #11, lines 6-7, change justification (3<sup>rd</sup> column) from " $2 \wedge D$ " to " $4 \wedge D$ "

p.305-306, last example on page that extends to p.306, there is mistake in the justification of line #6 that causes a problem in line #7; replace line #6 with " $\neg(Qa \vee \neg Rb)$ ". As this changes how the rest of the problem is solved, the answer is reproduced below:

1	$(\exists x)\neg(\forall y)[Px \rightarrow (Qx \vee \neg Ry)]$ ✓	P
2	$\neg(\forall y)[Pa \rightarrow (Qa \vee \neg Ry)]$ ✓	1 $\exists D$
3	$(\exists y)\neg[Pa \rightarrow (Qa \vee \neg Ry)]$ ✓	2 $\neg\forall D$
4	$\neg[Pa \rightarrow (Qa \vee \neg Rb)]$ ✓	3 $\exists D$
5	Pa	4 $\neg\rightarrow D$
6	$\neg(Qa \vee \neg Rb)$ ✓	4 $\neg\rightarrow D$
7	$\neg Qa$	6 $\neg\vee D$
8	$\neg\neg Rb$	6 $\neg\vee D$

p.308, #6, change " $(\exists x)[(Fx \wedge Px) \vee (\forall y)(Py \rightarrow Fy)]$ " to " $(\exists x)(Fx \wedge Px) \vee (\forall y)(Py \rightarrow Fy)$ "

p.308, #9, change " $(\forall x)(Pxx \rightarrow Paa)$ " to " $(\forall x)Pxx \rightarrow Paa$ "

p.308, solution to #1, line #4, column #3, replace " $1 \neg\neg D$ " with " $3 \neg\neg D$ "

p.310, first example in "Section 7.3.4 Logical Equivalence", line #6, column #4, missing a justification: write in " $2 \forall D$ "

p.311, line 11, replace " $(\exists x)(Px \vee Gx)$ " with " $(\exists x)\neg\neg(Px \vee Gx)$ ", as this influences the rest of the problem, the entire solution is produced below:

1	$\neg\{[(\forall x)\neg(Px \vee Gx)] \leftrightarrow [(\forall y)(\neg Py \wedge \neg Gy)]\}$ ✓	P
2	$(\forall x)\neg(Px \vee Gx)$ $\neg(\forall x)\neg(Px \vee Gx)$ ✓	1 $\neg\leftrightarrow D$



## Errata for Symbolic Logic

### Chapter 8

- p.328, after first proof, line 3, replace “an individual already” with “an individual constant already”
- p.329, example at top of page, line #9, third column, replace “8,9 $\wedge$ I” with “7,8 $\wedge$ I”
- p.329, 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence after heading “8.1.2 Existential Introduction ( $\exists$ I)”, replace “The procedure involves introducing an existential quantified” with “The procedure involves introducing an existentially quantified”
- p.332, line #4, third column, replace “2 $\wedge$ E” with “3 $\wedge$ E”
- p.332, line #5s, replace 2<sup>nd</sup> line #5 with “6”
- p.332, 2<sup>nd</sup> line #5 (new line #6), third column, replace “4 $\exists$ I” with “5 $\exists$ I”
- p.333, #11, change “ $(\exists y)(Wyc)$ ” in “ $Wab \wedge Qbc \vdash [(\exists y)(Way \wedge Qyc) \wedge (\exists y)(Wyb)] \wedge (\exists y)(Wyc)$ ” to “ $(\exists y)(Qyc)$ ”
- p.334, #9, first line in problem prompt, add second premise “Paa”
- p.334, #11, change “ $(\exists y)(Wyc)$ ” in “ $Wab \wedge Qbc \vdash [(\exists y)(Way \wedge Qyc) \wedge (\exists y)(Wyb)] \wedge (\exists y)(Wyc)$ ” to “ $(\exists y)(Qyc)$ ”
- p.334, #11, line #6, change “ $(\exists y)Wyc$ ” to “ $(\exists y)Qyc$ ”
- p.334, #11, line #8, change “ $(\exists y)(Wyc)$ ” in “ $Wab \wedge Qbc \vdash [(\exists y)(Way \wedge Qyc) \wedge (\exists y)(Wyb)] \wedge (\exists y)(Wyc)$ ” to “ $(\exists y)(Qyc)$ ”
- p.334, #11 in the description below the proof, 2<sup>nd</sup> line replace “Pab $\wedge$ Qbc” with “Wab $\wedge$ Qbc”
- p.336, 1st paragraph, last line in paragraph, place single quotation marks around 'R' in "and R, while"
- p.339, 3<sup>rd</sup> example, line “k+2”, add missing close parenthesis to formula: “ $(\forall x)\{[(Ix \wedge Qx) \wedge (Ex \wedge Gx)] \rightarrow \neg Px\}$ ”
- p.341, 1<sup>st</sup> full paragraph after 1<sup>st</sup> proof, replace “is valid is” with “is valid”
- p. 345, 1st paragraph, 3rd line: replace “ $(\exists x)Px$  says some number is prime” to “ $(\exists x)Ex$  says that some number is even”
- p.345, 2<sup>nd</sup> proof, line 2, justification column, replace “1 $\forall$ I” with “1 $\forall$ E”
- p.346, 1st paragraph, last line, replace “validly infer ' $(\exists x)(Wzz)$ ' ” with “derive ' $(\exists z)Wzz$ ' ”
- p.346, 1<sup>st</sup> example, line 4, 3<sup>rd</sup> column, replace 4 $\exists$ I with 3 $\exists$ I
- p.346, last example before Exercise Set #2, line #4, replace “ $(\exists x)Py$ ” with “ $(\exists y)Py$ ”
- p.349, first example, line #6: “ $\neg(\forall x)\neg(Wzz \rightarrow \neg Mz)$ ” to “ $\neg(\forall z)\neg(Wzz \rightarrow \neg Mz)$ ”
- p.349, 4th paragraph, line 1: replace “quantified antecedent” with “quantified consequent”
- p.349, last example, line #7: justification column, change “6” to “6 $\forall$ I”
- p.350, last paragraph, 2<sup>nd</sup> line, replace “it is simply an complex” with “it is simply a complex”
- p.350, last proof on page, line 2, 3rd column: replace  $(\exists x)Sb$  with  $(\exists x)Sx$
- p.351, last example, change justification of line #8 from “3 $\rightarrow$ E” to “3, 7 $\rightarrow$ E”
- p.351, last example, change justification of line #10 from “4,9 $\rightarrow$ E+DN” to “4,9MT+DN”
- p.352, exercise #19, change “ $(\exists x)[Px \wedge (\forall y)(Py \rightarrow Ryx)] \vdash (\exists x)(Px \wedge Rxx)$ ” to “ $(\exists x)[Px \wedge (\forall y)(Py \rightarrow Ryxb)] \vdash (\exists x)(Px \wedge Rxxb)$ ”
- p.353, exercise #3, line #4 and #5, replace “ $(\exists x)Gx$ ” with “ $(\exists z)Gz$ ”
- p.354, exercise #11, line #6, replace “ $(\forall x)Fx$ ” with “ $(\forall x)Px$ ”
- p.354, exercise #13, line #9, change “ $(\forall x)(Px \rightarrow Mx)$ ” to “ $(\forall x)(Fx \rightarrow Mx)$ ”
- p.354, exercise #15, line 6, change “1,5 $\rightarrow$ E” to “1,5DS”
- p.354, exercise #15, line 9, change “7,8 $\rightarrow$ E” to “7,8MT”
- p.355, exercise #17, line 11, change “9,10 $\rightarrow$ E” to “9,10MT”

### Appendix

p.359, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> row of table (3<sup>rd</sup> column), switch “Negation ( $\neg$ )” and “Conjunction ( $\wedge$ )”

### Kindle Version (Possible Typos)

Ch.2, Section 2.4.1, above table that contains (4E\*): delete “3” in “ $(M \vee 3K) \wedge \neg(M \wedge K)$ ”

Ch.2, In End of Chapter Exercises, Exercise D. #6 “fiec” in “If John is hungry or a zombie, then Mary should fiee”



should be replaced with “flee”

Ch.3. Exercise Set #2, #3 ?