Logic Exam October 28, 2021

Directions: This exam has 26 questions, for a total of 100 points and 0 bonus points. Write your **name**, the **exam version**, and your **answers** on the answer sheet provided. Please read the directions for each section carefully. If you have any questions about the exam itself, please raise your hand and I will come to your desk to answer your question. You may write on this exam and may use the last pages of this exam as scrap paper.

Multiple Choice: Concepts and terminology

- 1. (2 points) What is a derivation of \mathbf{Q} from $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ using \mathbf{PD} ?
 - A. A derivation of \mathbf{Q} is a *finite* string of formulas from a set Γ of \mathbf{PL} wffs where (i) the last formula in the string is \mathbf{Q} and (ii) each wff in the set is either a premise, an assumption, or is the result of the preceding wffs and the deductive apparatus.
 - B. A derivation of \mathbf{Q} is a finite string of wffs starting with some premises $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \ldots$ and ending with \mathbf{Q} .
 - C. A derivation of \mathbf{Q} is a finite string of wffs starting with some premises $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \ldots$ or assumptions and ending with \mathbf{Q} .
 - D. A derivation of \mathbf{Q} is an *infinite* string of formulas from a set Γ of \mathbf{PL} wffs where (i) the last formula in the string is \mathbf{Q} and (ii) each wff in the set is either a premise, an assumption, or is the result of the preceding wffs and the deductive apparatus.
 - E. A derivation of \mathbf{Q} is an infinite string of wffs starting with some premises $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \ldots$ or assumptions and ending with \mathbf{Q} .
- 2. (2 points) What is a deductive apparatus for **PL**?
 - A. a set of rules that state how the proof is supposed to look, e.g. horizontally rather than vertically.
 - B. It is a set of rules that allow individuals to reason from facts (experience) to general laws, e.g. laws of nature.
 - C. It is a set of rules of reason that all people use to reason from one proposition to another, including, but not limited to, hypothetical and probabilistic reasoning.
 - D. a set of rules that state that the rows in a proof need to be numbered.
 - E. a set of derivation rules that express which wffs ϕ can be written after which wffs ψ in a derivation.
- 3. (2 points) In logic, there are two notions of logical consequence (entailment). The first notion is the semantic (model-theoretic) notion, which says that Q is a logical consequence of Γ if and only if there is no interpretation of the members of Γ and Q such that all of the members of Γ are true and Q is false. What is the other notion of logical consequence?
 - A. the semi-semantic notion of logical consequence (entailment). This notion says that Q is a semi-semantic consequence of Γ if and only if it is impossible for the premises to be true and the conclusion false, defined in terms of truth tables and trees.
 - B. the intuitive notion of logical consequence (entailment). This notion says that Q is a logical consequence of Γ if and only if Q intuitively follows from Γ
 - C. the legal notion of logical consequence (entailment). This notion says that Q is a logical consequence of Γ if and only if Q would be accepted in a court of law or some practical matter.
 - D. the syntactic (proof-theoretic) notion of logical consequence (entailment). This notion says that Q is a logical consequence of Γ if and only if there is a derivation of Q from Γ
 - E. none of the above
- 4. (2 points) What is the difference between $\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{Q}$ and $\Gamma \models \mathbf{Q}$?

- A. $\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{Q}$ is syntactic consequence while $\Gamma \models \mathbf{Q}$ is semantic consequence.
- B. $\Gamma \models \mathbf{Q}$ is syntactic consequence while $\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{Q}$ is semantic consequence.

Short Answer

Directions: Answer the questions on the line provided on the answer sheet by writing the abbreviation for the derivation rule (e.g. $\leftrightarrow E$ that is best described in the question prompt provided.

- 5. (2 points) What single derivation rule would allow you to reason to $S \wedge Q$ from $(S \wedge Q) \wedge M$
- 6. (2 points) What single derivation rule would allow you to reason to $Z \wedge (Q \vee \neg P)$ from Z and $Q \vee \neg P$?
- 7. (2 points) What single derivation rule would allow you to reason to $Z \to Q$ from $(Z \to Q) \leftrightarrow M$ and M
- 8. (2 points) What single derivation rule would allow you to reason to S from $\neg L \to S$ and $\neg L$
- 9. (2 points) What single derivation rule would allow you to reason to $M \vee \neg (Q \vee T)$ from M
- 10. (2 points) What single derivation rule would allow you to reason to $Q \to M$ from $Q \to \neg Z$ and $\neg Z \to M$
- 11. (2 points) What single derivation rule would allow you to reason to $\neg\neg(Q \lor T)$ from $Q \lor T$
- 12. (2 points) What single derivation rule would allow you to reason to $L \to M$ from $L \to Q, Q \to M$?
- 13. (2 points) What single derivation rule would allow you to reason to C from $C \leftrightarrow Q$ and Q
- 14. (2 points) What single derivation rule would allow you to reason to $M \wedge W$ from M and W
- 15. (2 points) What single derivation rule would allow you to reason to V from $V \vee P$ and $\neg P$
- 16. (2 points) What derivation rule is best described as follows: if P is assumed and within this subproof Q and $\neg(Q)$ is derived, then $\neg(P)$ can be derived.
- 17. (2 points) What derivation rule is best described as follows: if $(P \to Q) \land Q$ is on a line of the proof, then it is legitimate to derive $P \to Q$ on a line and it is legitimate to derive Q on another line.
- 18. (2 points) What derivation rule best describes the following reasoning: If John watches Netflix, then Mary will go to the party. John watches Netflix. Therefore, Mary will go to the party.
- 19. (2 points) What derivation rule is best described as follows: given $(X \wedge Y) \vee M$ and $\neg M$, then $X \wedge Y$ can be derived.
- 20. (2 points) What derivation rule is best described as follows: given $P \vee Q$, if P is assumed and it is shown that R follows from P and if Q is assumed and it is shown that R follows from Q, then R can be derived.

Derivations

Directions: Solve the following proofs. Be sure to setup the proof correctly, number all lines, and clearly indicate how each line is justified using the rules from the deductive apparatus.

- 21. (10 points) $(P \land \neg Q) \land R, M \land S \vdash M \land \neg Q$
- 22. (10 points) $(P \land Q) \rightarrow (R \land S), P, Q \vdash R$
- 23. (10 points) $\neg (P \lor Q) \vdash \neg P \leftrightarrow \neg Q$
- 24. (10 points) $\neg (M \to Q), S \to Q \vdash \neg S \lor T$
- 25. (10 points) $\vdash Q \rightarrow (B \lor \neg B)$
- 26. (10 points) $\vdash P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow P)$

 $\textbf{Derivation Rule-Conjunction Introduction} \ \land I$

 $P,Q \vdash P \land Q \text{ or } P,Q \vdash Q \land P$

Derivation Rule – Conjunction Elimination ($\wedge E$)

 $P \wedge Q \vdash P \text{ or } P \wedge Q \vdash Q$

Derivation Rule – Conditional Introduction $(\rightarrow I)$

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} n & & & P & & \mathbf{A} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & & \\ (n+1) & & Q & & \\ (n+2) & P \rightarrow Q & & \rightarrow I, \, n\text{-}(n+1) \end{array}$$

Derivation Rule – Conditional Elimination $(\rightarrow E)$

 $P \to Q, P \vdash Q$

Derivation Rule - Reiteration (R)

 $P \vdash P$

Derivation Rule – Negation Introduction $(\neg I)$

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} n & & & P & & A \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & & \\ (n+1) & & Q & & \\ (n+2) & & \neg Q & & \\ (n+3) & \neg (P) & & \neg I, \ n-(n+2) \\ \end{array}$$

Derivation Rule – Negation Elimination $(\neg E)$

Derivation Rule – Disjunction Introduction $(\vee I)$

 $P \vdash P \lor Q \text{ or } P \vdash Q \lor P$

Derivation Rule – Disjunction Elimination ($\vee E$)

Derivation Rule – Biconditional Introduction $(\leftrightarrow I)$

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} n & & P & & A \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ (n+1) & & Q & & \\ \hline (i) & & Q & & \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & & \\ \hline (i+1) & & P & & \\ (k) & & P \leftrightarrow Q & & \leftrightarrow I, \, n\text{-}(n+1), \, (i)\text{-}(i+1) \end{array}$$

Derivation Rule – Biconditional Elimination ($\leftrightarrow E$)

 $P \leftrightarrow Q, P \vdash Q \text{ or } P \leftrightarrow Q, Q \vdash P$

Derivation Rule - Disjunctive Syllogism (DS)

 $P \vee Q, \neg Q \vdash P \text{ or } P \vee Q, \neg P \vdash Q$

Derivation Rule – Modus Tollens (MT)

 $P \to Q, \neg Q \vdash \neg P$

Derivation Rule - Hypothetical Syllogism (HS)

 $P \to Q, Q \to R \vdash P \to R$

Derivation Rule - Double Negation (DN)

 $P \dashv \vdash \neg \neg P$

Derivation Rule - De Morgan's Laws (DeM)

$$\neg (P \lor Q) \dashv \vdash \neg P \land \neg Q \text{ or } \neg (P \land Q) \dashv \vdash \neg P \lor \neg Q$$

Derivation Rule - Implication (IMP)

$$P \to Q \dashv \vdash \neg P \lor Q$$

Directions:	Please write your	name on the	e top of the page.	Please write clearly.	J
-					